



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/992,328	11/19/2001	Bryan Bergeron	8100.01	6056

7590

01/05/2004

Shawn D. Sentilles, Esq.
WYATT, TARRANT & COMBS, LLP
1715 AARON BRENNER DRIVE
SUITE 800
MEMPHIS, TN 38120-4367

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Notification of Non-Compliance
With 37 CFR 1.192(c)**

Application No.

09/992,328

Applicant(s)

BERGERON, BRYAN

Examiner

Stephen J. Castellano

Art Unit

3727

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 20 October 2003 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three **TIME PERIODS**: (1) **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) **TWO MONTHS** from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. **EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☒ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6. ☒ A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
 - (a) ☒ the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
 - (b) ☒ the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

See Continuation Sheet



Stephen J. Castellano
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 3727

Continuation of 9. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): Item 2: Appellant states that claims 1-10 are the subject of the appeal and that no other claims are pending. In actuality, claims 1-17 are pending, claims 1-10 have been finally rejected and are the subject of this appeal, claims 11-17 have been withdrawn as being non-elected subject matter.

Item 6: In the Grouping section, appellant states: "For each ground of rejection which appellant contests herein which applies to more than one claim, such additional claims, to the extent separately identified and argued below, do not stand and fall together." This statement doesn't specifically state whether any claims stand or fall together or whether each claim stands and falls by itself. Therefore, item 6a has been marked. Also, since this statement implies that there is at least one claim which stands and falls by itself and the at least one claim is not separately argued, item 6b has been marked.

For each issue on appeal, identify whether the claims stand or fall together or do not stand or fall together. If claims do not stand or fall together, specifically identify, for each issue, which claims are grouped together as standing or falling together and which claims stand or fall by themselves. For each issue, appellant must provide a separate argument for each grouping stating why that grouping is separately patentable should the other groupings be deemed unpatentable.

Item 9: Issues 1-3 pertain to petitionable matters rather than appealable matters and should not appear in an appeal brief.